

# APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 4/99

CB 24E

**IMPORTANT:** Please consult the "Instructions for Completing the Project Application" for assistance in completion of this form.

SUBDIVISION: Addyston CODE # 061 - 00436

DISTRICT NUMBER: 2 COUNTY: Hamilton DATE 09/22/00

CONTACT: David Seitz PHONE # (513) 563-1919 (THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WILL BE AVAILABLE DURING BUSINESS HOURS AND WHO CAN BEST ANSWER OR COORDINATE THE RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS)

FAX: (513) 563-1411 E-MAIL dseitz@ssyinc.com

PROJECT NAME: Water Service Replacements

## SUBDIVISION TYPE

(Check Only 1)

- ☐ 1. County  
☐ 2. City  
☐ 3. Township  
☒ 4. Village  
☐ 5. Water/Sanitary District  
(Section 6119 or 6117 O.R.C.)

## FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED

(Check All Requested & Enter Amount)

- ☐ 1. Grant \$  
☒ 2. Loan \$ 292,000.00  
☐ 3. Loan Assistance \$

## PROJECT TYPE

(Check Largest Component)

- ☐ 1. Road  
☐ 2. Bridge/Culvert  
☒ 3. Water Supply  
☐ 4. Wastewater  
☐ 5. Solid Waste  
☐ 6. Stormwater

TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$ 292,000.00 FUNDING REQUESTED: \$ 292,000.00

## DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION

To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

GRANT: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ LOAN ASSISTANCE: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

SCIP LOAN: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ RATE: \_\_\_\_\_ % TERM: \_\_\_\_\_ yrs.

RLP LOAN: \$ 292,000.00 RATE: 3 % TERM: 20 yrs.

(Check Only 1)

- ☒ State Capital Improvement Program ☐ Small Government Program  
☐ Local Transportation Improvements Program

## FOR OPWC USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: C \_\_\_\_\_ / C \_\_\_\_\_

Local Participation \_\_\_\_\_ %

OPWC Participation \_\_\_\_\_ %

Project Release Date: \_\_\_\_\_

OPWC Approval: \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVED FUNDING: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Loan Interest Rate: \_\_\_\_\_ %

Loan Term: \_\_\_\_\_ years

Maturity Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

SCIP Loan \_\_\_\_\_ RLP Loan \_\_\_\_\_

2000 SEP 22 AM 10:21

OFFICE OF NEW BURLINGTON  
COUNTY ENGINEER

## 1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### 1.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS:

(Round to Nearest Dollar)

Force Account  
Dollars

#### TOTAL DOLLARS

- |     |   |                                    |                             |
|-----|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a.) | Basic Engineering Services:                               | \$ <u>                    </u> .00 | <u>                    </u> |
|     | Preliminary Design \$ <u>                    </u>         |                                    |                             |
|     | Final Design \$ <u>                    </u>               |                                    |                             |
|     | Bidding \$ <u>                    </u>                    |                                    |                             |
|     | Construction Phase \$ <u>                    </u>         |                                    |                             |
|     | Additional Engineering Services                           | \$ <u>                    </u> .00 | <u>                    </u> |
|     | *Identify services and costs below.                       |                                    |                             |
| b.) | Acquisition Expenses:                                     |                                    |                             |
|     | Land and/or Right of Way                                  | \$ <u>                    </u> .00 | <u>                    </u> |
| c.) | Construction Costs:                                       | \$ <u>      265,000.00      </u>   | <u>                    </u> |
| d.) | Equipment Purchased Directly:                             | \$ <u>                    </u> .00 |                             |
| e.) | Permits, Advertising, Legal:                              | \$ <u>                    </u> .00 |                             |
|     | (Or Interest Costs for Loan Assistance Applications Only) |                                    |                             |
| f.) | Construction Contingencies:                               | \$ <u>      27,000.00      </u>    |                             |
| g.) | TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:                                    | \$ <u>      292,000.00      </u>   |                             |

\*List Additional Engineering Services here:  
Service:

Cost:

1.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES:  
(Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

	DOLLARS	%
a.) Local In-Kind Contributions	\$ .00	
b.) Local Revenues	\$ .00	
c.) Other Public Revenues		
ODOT	\$ .00	
Rural Development	\$ .00	
OEPA	\$ .00	
OWDA	\$ .00	
CDBG	\$ .00	
OTHER	\$ .00	
SUBTOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:	\$ .00	
d.) OPWC Funds		
1. Grant	\$ .00	
2. Loan	\$ 292,000.00	100
3. Loan Assistance	\$ .00	
SUBTOTAL OPWC FUNDS:	\$ 292,000.00	100
e.) TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	\$ 292,000.00	100%

1.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section.

ODOT PID# \_\_\_\_\_ Sale Date: \_\_\_\_\_

STATUS: (Check one)

Traditional \_\_\_\_\_

Local Planning Agency (LPA) \_\_\_\_\_

State Infrastructure Bank \_\_\_\_\_

## 2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

2.1 PROJECT NAME: Water Service Replacements

2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through C):  
A: SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Village of Addyston Water Service Area.

PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45001

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Replace 460 residential water services with new 3/4" dia. services to right-of-way.  
Replacement includes copper tubing and new tap.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS:

Each service is approximately 25' long.

D: DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

Detail current service capacity versus proposed service level.

The current service capacity is restricted by the poor condition of the existing services. The replacement will maximize the service level.

Road or Bridge: Current ADT \_\_\_\_\_ Year: \_\_\_\_\_ Projected ADT: \_\_\_\_\_ Year: \_\_\_\_\_

Water/Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household, attach current rate ordinance. Current Residential Rate: \$ 10.00/month/residence Proposed Rate: \$ No change.

Stormwater: Number of households served: \_\_\_\_\_

2.3 USEFUL LIFE/COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 40 Years.

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with original seal and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

### 3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT \$ 292,000.00

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION \$ \_\_\_\_\_

### 4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE:\*

	BEGIN DATE	END DATE
4.1 Engineering/Design:	<u>07/01/01</u>	<u>09/01/01</u>
4.2 Bid Advertisement and Award:	<u>09/01/01</u>	<u>10/01/01</u>
4.3 Construction:	<u>10/01/01</u>	<u>04/01/02</u>
4.4 Right-of-Way/Land Acquisition :	<u>/ /</u>	<u>/ /</u>

\* Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by the CEO of record and approved by the commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. The project schedule should be planned around receiving a Project Agreement on or about July 1st.

### 5.0 PROJECT OFFICIALS:

5.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Ms. Carole Kolb  
TITLE Mayor  
STREET 235 Main Street  
  
CITY/ZIP Addyston, Ohio 45001  
PHONE ( 513 ) 941 - 1060  
FAX ( 513 ) 941 - 2697  
E-MAIL \_\_\_\_\_

5.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER Ms. Sue Lloyd  
TITLE Village Treasurer  
STREET 235 Main Street  
  
CITY/ZIP Addyston, Ohio 45001  
PHONE ( 513 ) 941 - 1313  
FAX ( 513 ) 941 - 2697  
E-MAIL \_\_\_\_\_

5.3 PROJECT MANAGER Mr. David Seitz  
TITLE Project Engineer  
STREET Smith, Stevens & Young, Inc.  
11675 Lebanon Road  
  
CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45241  
PHONE ( 513 ) 563 - 1919  
FAX ( 513 ) 563 - 1411  
E-MAIL dseitz@ssyinc.com

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from the CEO.

## 6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Confirm in the blocks [ ] below that each item listed is attached.

- [ \* ] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- [ \* ] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- [ na ] A cooperation agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- [ x ] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's original seal or stamp and signature.
- [ na ] Projects which include new and expansion components and potentially affect productive farmland should include a statement evaluating the potential impact. If there is a potential impact, the Governor's Executive Order 98-VII and the OPWC Farmland Preservation Review Advisory apply.
- [ \* ] Capital Improvements Report: (Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form)
- [ x ] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your *local* District Public Works Integrating Committee.

\* Information will follow.

## 7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

**Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.**

Ms. Carole Kolb, Mayor

Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

Carole A. Kolb      1-9-22-00  
Original Signature/Date Signed      6

# OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION LOAN SUPPLEMENT

This supplement is required for all loan applicants.

Attach the following to the "Ohio Public Works Commission Application for Assistance"

- \_\_\_\_\_ Copy of Legislation authorizing current rates.
- \_\_\_\_\_ A statement from applicant's Chief Fiscal Officer certifying method of repayment.
- \_\_\_\_\_ A copy of previous year Financial Statement.

Complete the following:

NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	Water	Sewer
Residential	400	400
Commerical	15	15
Industrial	1	1
Other	0	0

SYSTEM EXPENDITURES	Water	Sewer
Operation Expenses Appropriations	89,226.00	73,366.00
Debt Service Payments		
Surplus		
General Fund Transfer	—	—
Other	—	—

RATES	Water	Sewer
Current	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
Last Increase (year and amount)	1/1/99	1/1/99
Planned Increase	N/A	N/A

## RATINGS

Moody's	S&P	General Obligation	Revenues

## DEBT OUTSTANDING

(do not include new OPWC loan)

	Total Debt	Annual Payment	Last Payment Date
Other OPWC loans (3)	179,660.93	5,178.23	6-5-00
Revenue Bonds	—	—	—
GO Bonds	—	—	—
Other	—	—	—

VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON  
PROGRAM YEAR 2001 STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND ROUND 15  
FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE APPLICATION  
FOR  
WATER SERVICE REPLACEMENTS


COST ESTIMATE:

3/4" Dia. Residential Service and Tap	460 services @ \$500.00 ea.	<u>\$ 230,000.00</u>
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 230,000.00
	5% General Conditions	<u>11,000.00</u>
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 241,000.00
	10% Contr. O&P	<u>24,000.00</u>
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 265,000.00
	10% Contingency	<u>27,000.00</u>
	TOTAL	\$ 292,000.00

USEFUL LIFE ESTIMATE: 40 Years

FOR: SMITH, STEVENS & YOUNG, INC.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David F. Seitz, P.E.





VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON

RESOLUTION NO. 2000- 11

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SUBMIT  
TO THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION AN APPLICATION  
FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON  
UNDER THE STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR 2001**

WHEREAS, the Village of Addyston is eligible to receive financial assistance in 2001 from the State Capital Improvement Program Issue II for repair of water lines, mains and service; and


WHEREAS, in order to receive said funds, Addyston Village Council must authorize the Mayor to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for such financial assistance; now therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the Council of the Village of Addyston, State of Ohio:


**SECTION 1.** That the Mayor or her designated representative is hereby authorized to submit to the Ohio Public Works Commission and Hamilton County Engineer applications for financial assistance for the Village of Addyston under the State Capital Improvement Program Issue II for 2001.

**SECTION 2.** This resolution is hereby declared to be an emergency resolution and a measure necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety and general welfare and shall go into effect forthwith. The reason for said emergency is to meet the Ohio Public Works Commission deadline for accepting said applications.

**SO RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON, OHIO,**  
this 7th day of November, 2000.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Carole A. Kolb  
Mayor

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret Ann Dozier, Clerk

(This Resolution was prepared by Robert G. Kelly, Village Solicitor.)

ADDYSTON BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS

VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON

ORDINANCE 1-2000

WHEREAS: THE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS PROVIDES WATER & SEWER TO UNMETERED FAMILY UNITS AND COMSUMERS NOW PAY \$20.00 PER MONTH, OF WHICH \$10.00 ALLOCATED TO THE WATER ACCOUNT AND \$10.00 IS ALLOCATED TO THE SEWER ACCOUNT.

WHEREAS: ADDITIONAL FUNDS ARE REQUIRED FOR THE WATER & SEWER ACCOUNTS, AND

WHEREAS: THE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS HAS DETERMINED THAT THE TOTAL UNMETERED FAMILY CHARGE SHALL BE \$20.00 (twenty) DOLLARS PER MONTH, \$10.00 OF WHICH SHALL BE ALLOCATED FOR WATER AND \$10.00 OF WHICH SHALL BE ALLOCATED FOR SEWER, AND

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFIARS OF THE VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION ONE

BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS ORDINANCE SHALL READ AS FOLLOWS:

THE FLAT RATE SHALL BE TWENTY DOLLARS (\$20.00) PER MONTH PER FAMILY UNIT, \$10.00 WHICH SHALL BE ALLOCATED TO THE WATER ACCOUNT, ADN \$10.00 ALLOCATED TO THE SEWER ACCOUNT. IF A METER IS USED, THE FOLLOWING RATES/SHALL APPLY

FIRST 10,000 GALLONS \$4.95 AND ALL ANY THING OVER 10,000 gallons - 0.30¢ per 1000 gallon,

PLUS SPECIAL CHARGES FOR THE FOLLOWING BUSINESSES:

WESTSIDE SPORTS PARK	<u>\$120.00- 6MTHS</u>	ADDYTOWN/STENGER (2UNITS)	<u>\$60.00</u>
SHAMROCK TAVERN	<u>\$30.00</u>	ROHRERS TAVERN	<u>\$30.00</u>
JEFFS CARRYOUT	<u>\$30.00</u>	THREE RIVERS BODY SHOP	<u>\$20.00</u>
G&G ROOFING CO.	<u>\$30.00</u>	MILTS TRANSMISSION	<u>\$30.00</u>
ROELLS BUILDING	<u>\$30.00</u>	FHSOV(MEDICAL BUILDING)	<u>\$35.00</u>
KAESER TOWING	<u>\$30.00</u>		

ANY AND ALL OTHER BUSINESSES OR NEW BUSINESSES RATES WILL BE SET BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES.

SECTION TWO

THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE THE THIS DAY OF JANUARY

SECTION THREE


THIS ORDINANCE IS DECLARED TO BE AN EMERGENCY MEASURE NECESSARY FOR THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF THE RESIDENTS OF THE VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON, THE REASON FOR THE EMERGENCY BEING THE NEED TO ASSURE FINANCIAL STABILITY OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS.

ADOPT THIS 6th DAY OF March, 2000


Mildred Adamson  
PRESIDENT MW

Attest:

Maicea Wickman  
Water Board Clerk



Recently Removed Water Service - (Note corrosion hole in pipe)



Recently Removed Water Service Clamp - (Clamp strength ~ 0)

# ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 2001 (July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items, as noted, is required. The applicant should also use the rating system and its' addendum as a guide. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

## 1) What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

Give a statement of the nature of the deficient conditions of the present facility exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. Use documentation (if possible) to support your statement. Documentation may include (but is not limited to): ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application. Examples of deficiencies include: structural condition; substandard design elements such as widths, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, etc.

---

**Many of the residential services are original and over 60 years old. Maintenance on the existing services averages \$9,000.00/month. The replacement will minimize unexpected shutdowns and maintenance time and costs.**

---

---

## 2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the safety of the service area. The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, and highway capacity.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

---

**The existing services do not provide adequate water pressure and flow. These conditions coupled with the lead in the services subjects the Village to a high liability risk.**

---

---

## 3) How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the health of the service area. The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project by improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.). Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

---

**The existing services are lead or galvanized steel with lead joints. The lead is a documented safety hazard and not permitted to be used by the EPA. The services must be replaced.**

---

---

4) Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction?

The jurisdiction must submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of most to least importance.

Priority 1 Well Field Water Main Replacement

Priority 2 Water Service Replacements

Priority 3 \_\_\_\_\_

Priority 4 \_\_\_\_\_

Priority 5 \_\_\_\_\_

5) Will the completed project generate user fees or assessments?

Will the local jurisdiction assess fees or project costs for the usage of the facility or its products once the project is completed (example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.).

No X Yes \_\_\_\_\_ If yes, what user fees and/or assessments will be utilized?

---

---

---

---

6) Economic Growth – How will the completed project enhance economic growth

Give a statement of the projects effect on the economic growth of the service area (be specific).

The quality of the water and the system affects the reputation of the area. The improved system will improve the area's reputation and enhance economic growth.

---

---

7) Matching Funds - LOCAL

The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (b) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form.

8) Matching Funds - OTHER

The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (c) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form. If MRF funds are being used for matching funds, the MRF application must have been filed by August 6 of this year for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office. List below, the source(s) of all "other" funding

---

---

---

---

9) Will the project alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district?

Describe how the proposed project will alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards (be specific).

**The project will eliminate the hazard of lead in the water services.**

For roadway betterment projects, provide the existing and proposed Level of Service (LOS) of the facility using the methodology outlined within AASHTO'S "Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" and the 1985 Highway Capacity Manual.

Existing LOS \_\_\_\_\_ Proposed LOS \_\_\_\_\_

If the proposed design year LOS is not "C" or better, explain why LOS "C" cannot be achieved.

10) If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded?

If SCIP/LTIP funds are awarded, how soon after receiving the Project Agreement from OPWC (tentatively set for July 1 of the year following the deadline for applications) would the project be under contract? The Support Staff will review status reports of previous projects to help judge the accuracy of a jurisdiction's anticipated project schedule.

Number of months 3

a.) Are preliminary plans or engineering completed? Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_ N/A \_\_\_\_\_

b.) Are detailed construction plans completed? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X N/A \_\_\_\_\_

c.) Are all utility coordination's completed? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ N/A X

d.) Are all right-of-way and easements acquired (if applicable)? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ N/A X

If no, how many parcels needed for project? \_\_\_\_\_ Of these, how many are: Takes \_\_\_\_\_ Temporary \_\_\_\_\_  
Permanent \_\_\_\_\_

For any parcels not yet acquired, explain the status of the ROW acquisition process for this project.

e.) Give an estimate of time needed to complete any item above not yet completed. 2 months.

11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact?

Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

The project will greatly improve the health, safety and welfare of the service area.

12) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of a jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.

13) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?

Describe what formal action has been taken which resulted in a ban of the use of or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits, etc. The ban must have been caused by a structural or operational problem to be considered valid. Submission of a copy of the approved legislation would be helpful.

No specific ban at the present time, however, lead is not permitted to be used in water lines by the EPA and existing lines should be replaced.

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ N/A \_\_\_\_\_

14) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?

For roads and bridges, multiply current Average Daily Traffic (ADT) by 1.20. For inclusion of public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4. User information must be documented and certified by a professional engineer or the jurisdictions' C.E.O.

Traffic: ADT \_\_\_\_\_ X 1.20 = \_\_\_\_\_ Users

Water/Sewer: Homes 460 X 4.00 = 1840 Users

15) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or dedicated tax for the pertinent infrastructure?

The applying jurisdiction shall list what type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for.

Optional \$5.00 License Tax \_\_\_\_\_

Infrastructure Levy \_\_\_\_\_ Specify type \_\_\_\_\_

Facility Users Fee X Specify type \$10.00 monthly fee/user

Dedicated Tax \_\_\_\_\_ Specify type \_\_\_\_\_

Other Fee, Levy or Tax \_\_\_\_\_ Specify type \_\_\_\_\_

SCIP/LTIP PROGRAM  
ROUND 15 - PROGRAM YEAR 2001  
PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA  
JULY 1, 2001 TO JUNE 30, 2002

NAME OF APPLICANT: ADDYSTON

NAME OF PROJECT: WATER SERVICE REPLACEMENTS

RATING TEAM: 1

NOTE: See the attached "Addendum To The Rating System" for definitions, explanations and clarifications to each of the criterion points of this rating system.

CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE RATING

- 1) What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

25 - Failed  
23 - Critical  
20 - Very Poor  
17 - Poor  
15 - Moderately Poor  
10 - Moderately Fair  
5 - Fair Condition  
0 - Good or Better

LAST YEAR = 23

PICTURES SHOW  
DETERIORATION

MANY SERVICES  
ARE 60 YRS. OLD  
NEED MORE DETAIL TO  
JUSTIFY 25

Appeal Score  
\_\_\_\_\_

- 2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

25 - Highly significant importance  
20 - Considerably significant importance  
15 - Moderate importance  
10 - Minimal importance  
0 - No measurable impact

LAST YEAR = 0

Appeal Score  
\_\_\_\_\_

- 3) How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

25 - Highly significant importance  
20 - Considerably significant importance  
15 - Moderate importance  
10 - Minimal importance  
0 - No measurable impact

LEAD JOINTS

LAST YEAR = 25

Appeal Score  
\_\_\_\_\_

- 4) Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction?

Note: Jurisdiction's priority listing (part of the Additional Support Information) must be filed with application(s).

25 - First priority project  
20 - Second priority project  
15 - Third priority project  
10 - Fourth priority project  
5 - Fifth priority project or lower

Appeal Score  
\_\_\_\_\_

- 5) Will the completed project generate user fees or assessments?

10 - No  
0 - Yes

Appeal Score  
\_\_\_\_\_



6) Economic Growth – How the completed project will enhance economic growth (See definitions).

10 – The project will directly secure significant new employment

Appeal Score

7 – The project will directly secure new employment

5 – The project will secure new employment

3 – The project will permit more development

0 – The project will not impact development

LY = 0

7) Matching Funds - LOCAL

10 – This project is a loan or credit enhancement

10 – 50% or higher

8 – 40% to 49.99%

6 – 30% to 39.99%

4 – 20% to 29.99%

2 – 10% to 19.99%

0 – Less than 10%

LOAN

8) Matching Funds - OTHER

10 – 50% or higher

8 – 40% to 49.99%

6 – 30% to 39.99%

4 – 20% to 29.99%

2 – 10% to 19.99%

1 – 1% to 9.99%

0 – Less than 1%

9) Will the project alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district?  
(See Addendum for definitions)

10 – Project design is for future demand.

Appeal Score

8 – Project design is for partial future demand.

6 – Project design is for current demand.

4 – Project design is for minimal increase in capacity.

2 – Project design is for no increase in capacity.

10) Ability to Proceed - If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (See Addendum concerning delinquent projects)

5 – Will be under contract by December 31, 2001 and no delinquent projects in Rounds 12 & 13

3 – Will be under contract by March 31, 2002 and/or one delinquent project in Rounds 12 & 13

0 – Will not be under contract by March 31, 2002 and/or more than one delinquent project in Rounds 12 & 13

11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider origination and destination of traffic, functional classifications, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc. (See Addendum for definitions)

10 – Major impact

Appeal Score

8 –

6 – Moderate impact

4 –

2 – Minimal or no impact

12) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

10 Points

8 Points

6 Points

4 Points

2 Points

13) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?

10 - Complete ban, facility closed

Appeal Score

8 - 80% reduction in legal load or 4 wheeled vehicles only

7 - Moratorium on future development, *not* functioning for current demand

6 - 60% reduction in legal load

5 - Moratorium on future development, functioning for current demand

4 - 40% reduction in legal load

2 - 20% reduction in legal load

0 - Less than 20% reduction in legal load

14) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?

10 - 16,000 or more

Appeal Score

8 - 12,000 to 15,999

6 - 8,000 to 11,999

4 - 4,000 to 7,999

2 - 3,999 and under

1,840

15) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or dedicated tax for the pertinent infrastructure? (Provide documentation of which fees have been enacted.)

5 - Two or more of the above

Appeal Score

3 - One of the above

0 - None of the above

USER FEE

## **General Statement for Rating Criteria**

Points awarded for all items will be based on engineering experience, field verification, application information and other information supplied by the applicant, which is deemed to be relevant by the Support Staff. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

### **Criterion 1 - Condition**

Condition is based on the amount of deterioration that is field verified or documented exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. Condition is rated only on the facility being repaired or abandoned. (Documentation may include: ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application.)

#### **Definitions:**

**Failed Condition** - requires complete reconstruction where no part of the existing facility is salvageable. (E.g. Roads: complete reconstruction of roadway, curbs and base; Bridges: complete removal and replacement of bridge; Underground: removal and replacement of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: completely non functioning and replacement parts are unavailable.)

**Critical Condition** - requires moderate or partial reconstruction to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: reconstruction of roadway/curbs can be saved; Bridges: removal and replacement of bridge with abutment modification; Underground: removal and replacement of part of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: some non-functioning, others obsolete and replacement parts are unavailable.)

**Very Poor Condition** - requires extensive rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: extensive full depth, partial depth and curb repair of a roadway with a structural overlay; Bridges: superstructure replacement; Underground: repair of joints and/or minor replacement of pipe sections; Hydrants: non-functioning and replacement parts are available.)

**Poor Condition** - requires standard rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: moderate full depth, partial depth and curb repair to a roadway with no structural overlay needed or structural overlay with minor repairs to a roadway needed; Bridges: extensive patching of substructure and replacement of deck; Underground: insituform or other in ground repairs; Hydrants: functional, but leaking and replacement parts are unavailable.)

**Moderately Poor Condition** - requires minor rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: minor full depth, partial depth or curb repairs to a roadway with either a thin overlay or no overlay needed; Bridges: major structural patching and/or major deck repair; Hydrants: functional and replacement parts are available.)

**Moderately Fair Condition** - requires extensive maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: thin or no overlay with extensive crack sealing, minor partial depth and/or slurry or rejuvenation; Bridges: minor structural patching, deck repair, erosion control.)

**Fair Condition** - requires routine maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: slurry seal, rejuvenation or routine crack sealing to the roadway; Bridges: minor structural patching.)

**Good or Better Condition** - little to no maintenance required to maintain integrity.

**Note:** If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will **NOT** be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding unless it is an expansion project that will improve serviceability.

### **Criterion 2 – Safety**

The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (e.g. widening existing roadway lanes to standard widths, adding lanes to a roadway or bridge to increase capacity or alleviate congestion, replacing non-functioning hydrants, increasing capacity to a water system, etc. Documentation is required.)

**Note:** Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

### **Criterion 3 – Health**

The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area (e.g. Improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.)

**Note:** Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

### **Criterion 4 – Jurisdiction's Priority Listing**

The jurisdiction **must** submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of most to least importance. The form is included in the Additional Support Information.

## Criterion 5 – Generate Fees

Will the local jurisdiction assess fees or project costs for the usage of the facility or its products once the project is completed (example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.). The applying jurisdiction must submit documentation.

## Criterion 6 – Economic Growth

Will the completed project enhance economic growth and/or development in the service area?

### Definitions:

**Directly secure significant new employment:** The project is specifically designed to secure a particular development/employer(s), which will add at least 100 or more new employees. The applicant agency must supply specific details of the development, the employer(s), and number of new permanent employees.

**Directly secure new employment:** The project is specifically designed to secure development/employers, which will add at least 50 new permanent employees. The applying agency must supply details of the development and the type and number of new permanent employees.

**Secure new employment:** The project is specifically designed to secure development/employers, which will add 10 or more new permanent employees. The applying agency must submit details.

**Permit more development:** The project is designed to permit additional business development. The applicant must supply details.

**The project will not impact development:** The project will have no impact on business development.

**Note:** Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply.

## Criterion 7 – Matching Funds - Local

The percentage of matching funds which come directly from the budget of the applying local government.

## Criterion 8 – Matching Funds - Other

The percentage of matching funds that come from funding sources other than those mentioned in Criterion 7.

## Criterion 9 – Alleviate Traffic Problems

The jurisdiction shall provide a narrative, along with pertinent support documentation, which describe the existing deficiencies and showing how congestion or hazards will be reduced or eliminated and how service will be improved to meet the needs of any expected growth or development. A formal capacity analysis accompanying the application would be beneficial. Projected traffic or demand should be calculated as follows:

### Formula:

Existing users x design year factor = projected users

<u>Design Year</u>	<u>Design year factor</u>		
	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Suburban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
20	1.40	1.70	1.60
10	1.20	1.35	1.30

### Definitions:

**Future demand** – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for twenty-year projected demand or fully developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

**Partial future demand** – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for ten-year projected demand or partially developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

**Current demand** – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service only for existing demand and conditions.

**Minimal increase** – Project will reduce but not eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide a minimal but less than sufficient increase in existing capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

**No increase** – Project will have no effect on existing congestion or deficiencies and provide no increase in capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

## Criterion 10 - Ability to Proceed

The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience and OPWC defined delinquent projects. A project is considered delinquent when it has not received a notice to proceed within the time stated on the original application and no time extension has been granted by the OPWC. A jurisdiction receiving approval for a project and subsequently canceling the same after the bid date on the application may be considered as having a delinquent project.

## **Criterion 11 - Regional Impact**

The regional significance of the infrastructure that is being repaired or replaced.

### **Definitions:**

**Major Impact** - Roads: major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed route to an Interstate, Federal Aid Primary routes.

**Moderate Impact** - Roads: principal thoroughfares, Federal Aid Urban routes

**Minimal / No Impact** - Roads: cul-de-sacs, subdivision streets

## **Criterion 12 – Economic Health**

The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of a jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.

## **Criterion 13 - Ban**

The jurisdiction shall provide documentation to show that a facility ban or moratorium has been formally placed. The ban or moratorium must have been caused by a structural or operational problem. Points will only be awarded if the end result of the project will cause the ban to be lifted.

## **Criterion 14 - Users**

The applying jurisdiction shall provide documentation. A registered professional engineer or the applying jurisdictions' C.E.O must certify the appropriate documentation. Documentation may include current traffic counts, households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for the roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

## **Criterion 15 – Fees, Levies, Etc.**

The applying jurisdiction shall document (in the "Additional Support Information" form) which type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for.